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ABSTRACT
In this paper, a carrier preemption access control protocol based on carrier sense multiple access schemes has been investigated for supporting IP packets over all optical WDM ring networks. The intention of our protocol design is to reduce the communication overhead of IP packets over optical networks for local/metropolitan area. To facilitate spatial reuse on the bandwidth of all optical ring networks, a special design is made for the carrier preemption scheme. An approximate analysis, based on the M/G/1 queuing model, has been developed to evaluate the performance of our protocol. The results have been obtained from the analytical model over a broad range of parameters.
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1. INTRODUCTION
With the explosion of information traffic due to the Internet, electronic commerce, computer networks, voice, data, and video, the need for a transmission medium with the bandwidth capabilities for handling such a vast amount of information is paramount. Recently, the channel bandwidth of commercial WDM (Wavelength Division Multiplexing) communication systems has reached to OC-192 (10 Gbps), and the total bandwidth of an optical fiber exceeds 1 Tbps. This indicates that WDM is the solution for bandwidth insatiability.

Due to the widespread services and tremendous user population on Internet, the traffic of IP packets dominates the utilization of data networks. However, they are now transferred, switched, and manipulated through complex protocol stacks, such as IP/ATM/SONET/WDM, IP/HDLC/SONET/WDM, and so on. How to merge and collapse the middle layers to reduce cost, complexity, and redundancy has become an important research issue [1-3]. Additionally, since many WDM systems have been deployed in wide area networks (WANs), the bottleneck of communications will be pushed ahead from backbone networks to local access networks. As a result, applying WDM to local and metropolitan area networks (LANs/MANs) gains much research interests [2-4].

In the literature, a number of research works were done for WDM ring networks. Cai et al. proposed the MITT access protocol for supporting variable size packets over WDM ring networks based on fixed transmitters and fixed receivers (FTs-FRs) architecture [2]. To achieve all optical communications, MITT adopts the source removal policy [5] for dropping packets from networks to prevent packet re-circulation. shrakande et al. developed HORNET as a testbed for a packet-over-WDM ring MAN [3]. To facilitate signal regeneration and destination removal [5-6], HORNET utilizes opto-electronic and electro-optic conversion, which may constrain the transmission rate of the network. Marsan et al. proposed SRR, an almost optimal MAC protocol based on TDM access, for all optical WDM multi-rings with tunable transmitter and fixed receiver (TT-FR) [4]. Due to strict TDM access, packet transmissions to a node is constrained to via a data channel. This scheme is lacking of the flexibility of utilizing channels, whereas adopting destination removal can free channels to other nodes. In sum, all optical communications and spatial reuse [5] owing to destination removal are two requirements for achieving high network efficiency.

To support IP packets directly over WDM ring networks and satisfy the above two requirements, we investigate a carrier preemption access control protocol based on carrier sense multiple access schemes. The access mechanism for our protocol uses the architecture of tunable transmitter and multiple fixed receivers (TT-FRs). In subsequent descriptions, the WDM ring network architecture for our protocol is presented in Section 2. Our protocol design is illustrated in Section 3. To evaluate the performance of the protocol, the performance analysis is described in Section 4. In Section 5 shows many analytical results over a broad range of parameters. Finally, a few remarks are given in the conclusions.

2. NETWORK ARCHITECTURE
Let us consider a single and unidirectional fiber ring network, which connects a number of nodes. The ring network is composed of B data channels as shown in Figure 1.
Each data channel makes use of one specific wavelength to convey optical signal. Therefore, based on the WDM technology, channels can work independently without mutual interference to each other. Logically, the network can be treated as a multi-ring network. The node structure of the network is shown in Figure 2.

Each node has one tunable transmitter and B fixed receivers with one for each data channel. For the optical signal sent from upstream nodes, a splitter is used to tap off a small portion of the optical power from the ring to the receivers. Every receiver detects the optical signal carried in its corresponding wavelength within the output branch from the splitter for node address identification. If the destination address in the incoming packet header matches the node address, the packet data is sent to the host. Meanwhile, the MAC control scheme is signaled to activate the open of the on-off switch for the corresponding data channel to remove the received packet carried in the major portion of the optical signal through the delay line. If the destination address is irrelevant to the node address, the detected packet is ignored and the process of scanning next new packet is started.

As for the portion of optical signal through the delay line, optical carriers will be delayed a period of delay time for the operation time of address recognition, MAC control scheme, and on-off switching to remove received packets. After through the delay line, the optical signal will be de-multiplexed by the DEMUX (see Figure 2.) into B data channels according to their separate wavelength. The output of the DEMUX is connected to an on-off switch array with B input ports and B output ports. If a switch for one specific channel is opened, it means that the node is ready to remove the packet in that channel from the ring to prevent the re-circulation of packets. Otherwise, optical signal flows through the closed switches directly to the MUX. The MUX of nodes is used to multiplex the separate wavelength into its output fiber link. With the combination of a delay line, a DEMUX, an on-off switch array, and a MUX in nodes, the destination removal policy [5] can be realized in our ring network.

The packets ready to be transmitted are placed in the transmission queues of a node transmitter before sending. In order to avoid the head of line (HOL) blocking problem [3-4] occurred in the mechanism of single transmission queue for ordinary packet transmissions, the transmission mechanism with multiple queues is adopted in the transmitter of nodes, where one queue is used for each destination node. When the receivers detect a few idle data channels, the tunable transmitter that is signaled can tune to the transmission wavelength corresponding to a data channel, pick a packet from a transmission queue according to some transmission selection strategies, and then send the packet onto the target channel. Since each node is equipped with a receiver for each data channel, a packet can be transmitted via any available data channel to its corresponding destination node. As a packet has been transmitted onto an available data channel, the optical carrier of the packet is then coupled with the optical carriers from the MUX by the coupler. The integrated carriers are then sent to the downstream nodes. For the transmission selection strategies, they are part of the MAC control scheme and will be discussed in the later section.

3. CSMA/CP ACCESS PROTOCOL

The carrier sensing mechanism (See Figure 3.) for finding transmitted packets in optical fiber can be based on sub-carrier signaling [2-4] or receiver monitoring. For sub-carrier signaling, each wavelength is associated with a sub-carrier frequency. When a node transmits a packet, it multiplexes the corresponding sub-carrier frequency. The nodes determine the occupancy of all wavelengths in parallel by monitoring the sub-carriers in the RF domain. In addition, since each receiver extracts the optical signals from the corresponding data channel (or wavelength), receiver monitoring can be another approach to determine the occupancy of all wavelengths. It seems natural that the receivers are associated with the auxiliary function to monitor the status of the optical ring network. Nowadays,
the cost of such receivers is still so high that it is not economical to manufacture, but it may be realized later.

To resolve the access collisions in our network, a carrier preemption access scheme (See Figure 4.) is proposed in conjunction with the carrier sense multiple access mechanism to form our MAC protocol, which is called the Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Carrier Preemption (CSMA/CP) protocol. Based on the protocol, each node monitors the wavelengths and tries to find an opening on channels provided that there are packets for transmission. Given that a packet is being transmitted onto a target channel while the node detecting another packet arriving on the same channel at its input, a dilemma of ring access (an access collision) has occurred. The cause for access collisions is due to the fact that the node cannot know if the opening is long enough to accommodate the packet.

By the carrier preemption scheme, a collided packet is immediately fragmented into two parts: one for already transmitted and the other one for still in queue. For the fragment of already transmitted, a data frame trailer is appended to its back at once. For the fragment of still in queue, it will be transmitted later on the same channel or on other available channels. To guarantee the correctness of the protocol operations, the delay line inside nodes must be used to delay the incoming packet and to sustain the time for packet fragmentation. In addition, the delay line should be long enough to cover minimum packet length so that unnecessary fragmentation can be avoided.

To support the carrier preemption scheme, the frame format adopted is shown in Figure 5. For the start delimiter (SD) and the end delimiter (ED), they mark a physical data frame conveyed in data channels for packets or fragments. The source address (SA) and the destination address (DA) serve as the address information in the network. The sequence number (SN) is used to record the serial number in a sequence of fragments and the end fragment (EF) is used to indicate the last fragment. To prevent the possible transmission errors in midway, the cyclic redundancy check (CRC) is employed. The flag (FG) field is reserved for extended protocol functions. To demonstrate the action of packet fragmentation, a collided packet is fragmented into two fragments as depicted in Figure 6. The front fragment that has just been transmitted is appended a frame trailer and the rear fragment for later transmission is inserted a frame header.

4. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

The performance analysis of the dedicated network is a hard problem since node i transmission queue Q_i is contention queuing model, as shown in Figure 7. The arbitrary node i on the WDM ring, we refer to another node as an upstream source when it transmits a data packet that requires use node i as a bridge to reach its destination. Node i can be blocked by upstream traffic sourced at other nodes.

Consider the ith packet arrival into a specific node transmission queue. As before, the expected queue-waiting delay for this packet consists of three terms: first, the mean residual time for the packet; second, the expected waiting time for packets ahead of ith packet; and third, the expect vacation times due to block by upstream traffic (See Figure 8). Clearly, the queue-waiting delay captures the effect of contention and traffic density dependent. Fortunately, it is extremely difficult to model the contention exactly, due to the dependence among upstream traffic. Here, our approximate analyses are base on “analysis of slot ring” and we consider the delay line (or mTU) as a slot unit. Next we first present some assumptions and notations which used in performance analyses of single and multiple WDM ring networks [8-11] base on our protocol presented in section 4.2 and 4.3 respectively.

4.1 Assumptions and Notations

For simplicity, some assumptions and notations are given as follows:

1. Packets arrive according to independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) Poisson process with rate \( \lambda_i \) (packets/second) at each of the N nodes on the ring.
and with aggregate arrival rate for the network of
\[ \lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \lambda_i. \]

2. We also assume that the arrival stream of packets at node \( i \) destined for node \( i \oplus j \) is Poisson process with rate \( \lambda_{i,i \oplus j} \) shown in Figure 9., where \( \oplus \) indicates addition modulo \( N \); thus \( \lambda_i = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \lambda_{i,i \oplus j}. \) In case of uniform and symmetric traffic on the ring, it means that the mean packet generation for all nodes is equal and each source sends equal traffic to all destinations.

\[ \lambda_i = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \lambda_{i,i \oplus j} = \frac{\lambda}{N-1} = \frac{\lambda}{N(N-1)} \]

and \( \lambda_{i,j} = 0, \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq N-1, 1 \leq j \leq N-1. \)

3. We assume that packets have random lengths determined at each node as independent, identically and geometrically distributed random variables (denoted by the r.v. \( M[\text{bits}] \)) with mean \( E[M] \) and probability mass function

\[ P_x(M=k) = \beta \cdot (1-\beta)^k, \quad k=0,1,2,\ldots \]

where

\[ \beta = \frac{1}{1 + E[M]} \]

4. We assume the WDM ring channel bit rate (speed) is \( R \) bps and the packet transmission time without considering vacations is \( X(= M/R) \) seconds.

5. We define that mTU (minimum transfer unit) is equal to the delay line (\( L \)) with \( L/R \) seconds to transmit a mTU.

6. We fragment the data packet of Length \( M \) sent by node \( i \) into a sequence of \( n_o \) consecutive mTUs ignored the header and trailer length, and we assume that

\[ P_x(n_o=k), \quad k=0,1,2,\ldots \]

denote the probability that \( n_o=k. \)

\[ E(n_o) = \frac{[1-(1-\beta)^k + (1-\beta)^{k+1}]}{[1-(1-\beta)^i]} \]

7. In a destination-packet-remove strategy, the node determines whether they remove the packet or not after checking out within a delay line. Therefore the node latency is equal to delay line.

8. The total WDM ring latency (the propagation delay of the WDM ring + the sum of node latencies) is \( t \) seconds.

9. The number of WDM channels in the ring is \( B \).

10. The distances between the nodes are equal in the WDM ring.

4.2 Analysis of Single WDM Ring Network

Because the transfer delays at all FIFO queues on its entire path from source station to destination, the transfer delay consists of queue-waiting delay, transmission delay and propagation delay.

In the queue-waiting delay and transmission delay capture the effect of contention and upstream traffic dependence. We consider delay line (or mTU) of our protocol as a slot unit, so the dependence known as the full slots being uniformly and independently distributed on the WDM ring. With the above assumption, we model the contention in queue-waiting and transmission delay using M/G/1 queuing model [7]. The transfer delay, \( D \), is defined as

\[ D = W + S + \tau' \]

where \( \tau' \) is the average propagation delay from a source node to a destination node which often expressed as \( \tau/2 \).

\( W \) is queue-waiting delay that has two components: the residual slot time \( \alpha \) and the transmission of packets ahead in the queue shown in Figure 10.

\[ E(\tau') = \frac{L}{2 \times R} \]

Next, the arriving packet has to wait for transmission of other packets ahead of it in the queue. By Little’s formula, the average number of packets in the queue seen by an arriving packet is average queue length is given by \( \lambda \cdot W \). Packets sent by an upstream source that use node \( i \) as a bridge to reach their destinations, and this bridge has average traffic load \( \rho_B = \sum_{x=1}^N \sum_{y=x+1}^{N-1} \lambda_{y,x} \cdot E[X_y] \cdot E[X_x] \)

These upstream traffic block the head of queue packet at the node \( i \). Substitution of above assumptions into \( \rho_B \) given an expression as follows:

\[ \rho_B = \sum_{x=1}^N \sum_{y=x+1}^{N-1} \lambda_{y,x} \cdot E[X_y] \cdot E[X_x] \]

\[ = \frac{(N-1)(N-2)}{2} \times \frac{\lambda}{N(N-1)} \times E(n_o) \times \frac{L}{R} \]

\[ = \frac{(N-2) \times \lambda \times E(n_o) \times L}{2 \times R} \]

With this assumption, the average density \( \rho_B \) can be viewed as the probability \((=U)\) that a slot is full and continuing past the current point. Then slot access time for the HOL packet is \( U \), the probability that a packet has to wait \( i \) more slots before it can be transmitted is \( U^i (1-U) \). The mean of waiting time \( E(d) \) to find an empty slot can be expressed as
$$E(d) = \sum_{n=0} \frac{L \cdot U}{R} (1 - U) = \frac{L \cdot U}{R (1 - U)}$$

where \( U < 1 \)  

(6)

When a new packet arrives, it must wait \( n_c d \) (sec) for each item ahead of it and wait \( n_c d \) more for its own service. Because of the memoryless property of stochastic process, we have

$$W = E(\alpha) + \lambda,WE(X) + \lambda,WE(n_c)E(d)$$

which can be reduced to

$$W = \frac{E(\alpha)}{1 - \lambda,WE(X) - \lambda,WE(n_c)E(d)}$$

(7)

(8)

,where \( \lambda,WE(X) + \lambda,WE(n_c)E(d) \) < 1

We assume general transmission delay included some vacations is \( S \) seconds,

$$S = E(X) + \lambda,WE(n_c)E(d)$$

Thus, the average transmission delay is given by

$$E(D) = E(W) + E(S) + \tau / 2$$

(9)

(10)

4.3 Analysis of Multiple WDM Ring Network

In order to analyze the multiple WDM ring networks, it is assumed that the bridge traffic load by upstream source is equally distributed among \( B \) rings. To simplify the analysis, we further assume that the circulation of slots on \( B \) rings is synchronized. That is, a node can observe \( B \) slots on different rings at the same time. Since the bridge traffic load by upstream source is uniformly distributed among the \( B \) rings, the average bridge traffic load of each ring, \( U_b \), can be expressed as

$$U_b = U / B$$

(11)

The probability that the packet at the head of a queue cannot get an empty slot among the currently passing \( B \) slots in \( (U_b)^B \). Therefore, the probability that the packet has to wait \( i \) slot times before it can be sent out is

$$E(d_b) = \sum_{i=0} \frac{L \cdot U}{R} (1 - (U_b)^B)^i$$

(12)

Since for each packet in the queue the arriving packet has to wait for \( L/R + d_b \) times, the total waiting time in the queue faced by arriving packet is

$$W = E(\alpha) + \lambda,WE(X) + \lambda,WE(n_c)E(d_b)$$

(13)

Therefore, we have

$$W = \frac{E(\alpha)}{1 - \lambda,WE(X) - \lambda,WE(n_c)E(d_b)}$$

(14)

,where \( \lambda,WE(X) + \lambda,WE(n_c)E(d_b) \) < 1

We assume general transmission delay included some vacations is \( S \) seconds,

$$S = E(X) + \lambda,WE(n_c)E(d_b)$$

Thus, the average transmission delay is given by

$$E(D) = E(W) + E(S) + \tau / 2$$

(15)

(16)

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To evaluate the performance of the WDM ring network, the following parameters have been listed below.

- Number of nodes 16
- Number of channels 1, 2, 4, 8
- Network distance 30 km, 50 km, 100 km
- Channel speed 1.22 Gbps, 2.5 Gbps, 10 Gbps
- Size of the delay line 800 bits
- Average IP packet size 512 bytes (\( \beta = 0.000244 \))
- Propagation delay of the fiber is 5 \( \mu s/km \).

The WDM ring distance was assumed to be a choice of 30 km, 50 km, or 100 km. Some of the typical results are shown in Figure 12-15. Figure 12 charts the average transfer delay versus the number of packets per node in a 10 Gbps four-channel WDM ring. Figure 13 plots the average transfer delay for various ring distances and for various channel speeds. Average transfer delays for the number of channels are shown in Figure 14. Parts of Figure 14 are enlarged in Figure 15 in order to observe the detailed differences among the curves.

From the above analysis, the following results were observed:

(1) Under the steady state network condition, the higher the number of channels in the WDM ring, the higher the node throughput (See Figure 11). This means the throughput characteristic of the network depends on the aggregated transmission capacity of the network, e.g., the performance of the network that has eight-channel 10 Gbps is superior to that of four-channel 10 Gbps.

(2) Under the steady state network condition, the average transfer delay characteristic of the network with a shorter distance is better than that of a long distance WDM ring network (See Figure 12).

(3) Under the steady state network condition, the average transfer delay characteristics of the networks with equal ring distances are almost equivalent in all cases (See Figure 13 and 14). This means that the major factor in transfer delay is neither the transmission delay nor the propagation delay from a source node to a destination node.

(4) Even through the WDM ring distance and the aggregated transmission capacity of the WDM rings are the same, there is small difference in the average transfer delay between the networks (for example, see the curves for a eight-channel of 1.22 Gbps WDM ring, a four-channel of 2.5 Gbps WDM ring, and a single-channel 10 Gbps WDM ring in Figure 15). This is coming from the differences of node latency in the WDM ring since each node only has a tunable transmitter. In other word, the node latency in the 10 Gbps WDM ring is shorter than that of a eight-channel
1.22 Gbps WDM ring and a four-channel 2.5 Gbps WDM ring. Consequently, the average transfer delay characteristic of the 10 Gbps WDM ring is better than the other cases.

Figure 12. Average transfer delay for various WDM ring distances in a four-channel 10 Gbps WDM ring.

Figure 13. Average transfer delay for various WDM ring distances and number of channels in a 10 Gbps WDM ring.

Figure 14. Average transfer delay for various channel speeds and the number of channels in a 50 km WDM ring.

Figure 15. Some part of detailed observations of average transfer delay for various channel speeds and the number of channels in a 50 km WDM ring.

6. CONCLUSIONS
In summary, we have investigated a novel MAC protocol for all optical WDM ring networks. The protocol supports the transmission of IP packets directly over WDM. Meanwhile, the investigation has been made about how to merge and collapse the middle layers between IP and WDM for next generation optical LANS/MANs. On facilitating spatial reuse of network bandwidth, our protocol displays the excellent characteristics of high throughput and low delay in the way of all optical communications.

A novel technique has been devised to analyze the average transfer delay of a packet in the multiple channel ring network. The analytical results show that the major part of the packet transfer delay is coming from the propagation delay from a source to a destination. It is also observed that the throughput characteristic of the network is almost proportional to the aggregated transmission capacity of the network. In the future we are devoted to the analytical results agreement with simulation results.
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